UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/815,179	03/31/2004	John David Breiten	VALMET-101	7028
36528 7590 04/23/2007 STIENNON & STIENNON 612 W. MAIN ST., SUITE 201			EXAMINER	
			HALPERN, MARK	
P.O. BOX 1667 MADISON, WI			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ŕ			1731	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		·	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MON	NTHS	04/23/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		et/				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/815,179	BREITEN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Mark Halpern	1731				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. Poply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27	February 2007.					
	<u> </u>					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under	r <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims	•					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 19-22</u> is/are pending in the	application					
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5, 19-22</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		·				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	l/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal All b) Some * c) None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received in Ap	oplication No				
Copies of the certified copies of the pr	iority documents have been	received in this National Stage				
application from the International Bure	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified copies not i	received.				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08))/Mail Date formal Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/815,179

Art Unit: 1731

DETAILED ACTION

1) Acknowledgement is made of Amendment received 2/27/2007. Claims 1-5, 19-21 are amended, claims 6-18 are cancelled, and new claim 22 is offered for consideration.

Specification

The amendment filed 2/27/2007 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132(a) because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132(a) states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: Claim 1 recites "A kit for constructing one of a plurality of doctor blades of different lengths...". The concept of "a kit" is not supported and the term "a kit" is not recited in the original presentation.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3) Claims 1-5, 19-22, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to

Art Unit: 1731

one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Claim 1 recites "A kit for constructing one of a plurality of doctor blades of different lengths...". "A kit" is not supported in the original presentation.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4) Claims 1-5, 19-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 is not clear as to what defines "a kit". "A kit" is not supported nor recited in the original presentation.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2) Claims 1-4, 19-22, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fitzpatrick (2,710,445). Fitzpatrick discloses a safety razor wherein a ribbon-type strip blade 40 is enclosed in complementary casings 12 and 14 of casing 10. The blade is

Art Unit: 1731

shown in Figure 9. Knob 46 is used for moving the blade and securing the blade in any suitable manner by rivet 44. As shown in Figure 5, consecutive numerical indicia corresponding to successive blade lengths are printed directly on the blade 40 and are observed through aperture 60 in the razor casing 10 to indicate what blade length is advanced into shaving position. There are dotted lines and solid lines on the blade. The blade advancement may be of any desired length (col. 3, lines 12-32)(col. 1, line 64 to col. 3, line 54, and Figures 1-9).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3) Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fitzpatrick in view of Toivanen (WO 99/60207). Fitzpatrick is silent on rivets as markings on the blade. Toivanen discloses doctor blade 11 placed on reel 100 in storage box P being pulled by pulling device 16 into blade holder 14 and after use as a doctor blade it is pulled into winding device 17 for used doctor blades. Figure 2A of Toivanen shows guiding pins 60a, which read on rivets. It would have been obvious to combine the teachings of Fitzpatrick and Toivanen, because such a combination would provide for improved marking of blade segments in the apparatus of Fitzpatrick.

Response to Amendment

- 4) Claims 1-2 rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Toivanen (WO 99/60207), is withdrawn in view of amended claims.
- 5) Claims 3-5, 19-21 rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Toivanen, is withdrawn in view of amended claims.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7) Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Halpern whose telephone no. is 571-272-1190.

Application/Control Number: 10/815,179

Art Unit: 1731

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven Griffin can be reached on 571-272-1189. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Primary Examiner

Page 6

Art Unit 1731